



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: § Attorney Docket No. 108751.0000.002  
§  
Harvey Stanley Benson § Group Art Unit: 3732  
§  
Serial No. 10/642,956 §  
§  
Filed: August 18, 2003 § Examiner: Robyn Kieu Doan  
§  
For: METHOD OF APPLYING A §  
COSMETIC PRODUCT §  
§  
§  
§

---

Mail Stop Response/FEE  
Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED NOVEMBER 24, 2004**

Dear Examiner Doan,

Applicant hereby respectfully requests consideration of the appended remarks and provides a Terminal Disclaimer signed by Applicant's attorney of record.

**REMARKS**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,654,981 in view of Bouix et al (6,732,743).

In response to the obviousness-type double patenting rejection, applicant has attached a